Note: I have changed the names of a few letters and variables in these solutions.

A linear transformation is a mapping  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfying two properties:

(1)  $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$  for any  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . (Additivity)

(2)  $T(\lambda \vec{x}) = \lambda \cdot T(\vec{x})$  for any  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  (Scaling)

Two important properties that emerge from the above are the following:

•  $T(\lambda_1 \vec{x} + \lambda_2 \vec{y}) = \lambda_1 T(\vec{x}) + \lambda_2 T(\vec{y})$ . This arises from combining the two properties above.

•  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$ , where  $\vec{0}$  in any space denotes the all-zeroes vector. This comes from setting  $\lambda = 0$  in the second property above.

An  $m \times n$  matrix A defines a linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  via the matrix product:

	$a_{1,1}$	$a_{1,2}$	•••	$a_{1,n}$	$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \ldots + a_{1,n}x_n \end{bmatrix}$	
	$a_{2,1}$	$a_{2,2}$	•••	$a_{2,n}$	$x_2$		$a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \ldots + a_{2,n}x_n$	l
			••.	÷		=		
	$a_{m,1}$	$a_{m,2}$	•••	$a_{m,n}$	$x_n$		$a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \ldots + a_{m,n}x_n$	
or, more succ	inctly,	$A\vec{x} =$	$\vec{b}$ .					

I claim above that any matrix defines a linear transformation, but I haven't explained why this is true. Here, as an example, I'll verify it for any  $2 \times 2$  matrix, i.e. show that any  $2 \times 2$  matrix defines a linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose that we have a  $2 \times 2$  matrix,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ . Then,

for any vectors 
$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  
 $A \cdot (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(x_1 + y_1) + b(x_2 + y_2) \\ c(x_1 + y_1) + d(x_2 + y_2) \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} ax_1 + bx_2 \\ cx_1 + dx_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} ay_1 + by_2 \\ cy_1 + dy_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = A \cdot \vec{x} + A \cdot \vec{y}$ 

which confirms that the first property holds true. Note the structure of the argument here. In practice, I might start by picking a bunch of particular vectors  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$  and verifying that  $A \cdot (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A \cdot \vec{x} + A \cdot \vec{y}$  holds true. I'd then see that in each such case, the structure of the equations looks somewhat similar. The equations I've written above are the general case of that argument - they hold true no matter which vectors  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$  you pick. Checking the second property is similar. For any vector  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  and any constant  $\lambda$ ,

$$A \cdot (\lambda \vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x_1 \\ \lambda x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\lambda x_1 + b\lambda x_2 \\ c\lambda x_1 + d\lambda x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \lambda \begin{bmatrix} ax_1 + bx_2 \\ cx_1 + dx_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \lambda (A \cdot \vec{x})$$

(1) In each of the following decide whether the given function is a linear transformation. (Added challenge: if it is linear, can you find a matrix which describes it?)
(a) T: ℝ<sup>2</sup> → ℝ<sup>2</sup> defined by T(x) = 3x

**Solution:** T is linear, because it is described by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$T(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1 \\ 3x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 3\vec{x}$$

(b)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solution: T is not linear, because

$$T(\vec{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not the zero vector.

(c) 
$$T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$$
 defined by  $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}\right) = xyz.$ 

Solution: T is not linear, because the scaling property does not hold. For example, if c is any constant,

$$T\left(c\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix}cx\\cy\\cz\end{bmatrix}\right) = (cx)\cdot(cy)\cdot(cz) = c^{3}\cdot(xyz)$$

which is not equal to  $c \cdot T\left( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \right) = c \cdot (xyz).$ (d)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

**Solution:** T is linear, because it is described by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the two columns of the matrix,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , can be immediately read off as the coefficients of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  on the right side of our original equation. (e)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$  defined by  $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$ .

**Solution:** T linear because it is described by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . (This is a  $4 \times 2$ 

matrix because it maps from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ .)

(f)  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(\vec{x}) =$  the vector obtained by rotating  $\vec{x}$  by 90° counterclockwise around the origin.

**Solution:** T is linear. We can prove this abstractly, by checking the two required properties, but we can also just generate the  $2 \times 2$  matrix for T by seeing where it sends the standard basis vectors. Thinking geometrically about what a 90° counterclockwise rotation does, we find that

 $T\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix} \qquad T\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\0\end{bmatrix}$ Thus,  $\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix}-1\\0\end{bmatrix}$  are the columns of the matrix describing T, i.e.

$$T(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \vec{x}$$

(As a sanity check, try plugging in  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ . What do you get? If you plot this in the plane, is it what you expect?)

- (2) Suppose that  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear transformation, and all you know about it is that  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 2\\5 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - (a) Can you compute  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ ? If so, find it; if not, explain why not.

**Solution:** Yes, we can. Because T is linear, we have that for any  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ ,

$$T\left(\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\5 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda_1 T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \lambda_2 T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2\\5 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So we should try to find  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . That is, we must solve the system of linear equations

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_1 &+& 2\lambda_2 &=& 1\\ 2\lambda_1 &+& 5\lambda_2 &=& 0 \end{array} \right|$$

Solve to get  $\lambda_1 = 5, \lambda_2 = -2$ . Therefore,

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(5\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\end{bmatrix} - 2\begin{bmatrix}2\\5\end{bmatrix}\right) = 5\begin{bmatrix}3\\1\end{bmatrix} - 2\begin{bmatrix}3\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}9\\3\end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Can you compute  $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ ? If so, find it; if not, explain why not.

**Solution:** Similarly, we want to find  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Routine calculation yields  $\lambda_1 = -2, \lambda_2 = 1$ . Therefore,

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(-2\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}2\\5\end{bmatrix}\right) = -2\begin{bmatrix}3\\1\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}3\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-3\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

(c) Can you write down a matrix that describes T?

Solution: Yes, we have just calculated the two columns of the matrix that describes T. The matrix is  $\begin{bmatrix} 9 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(3) Suppose that  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear transformation, and all you know about it is that Suppose that  $T \,:\, \mathbb{R} \,\to\, \mathbb{R}$  is a linear transformation, and an you known  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\6 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . (a) Can you compute  $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\5 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ ? If so, find it; if not, explain why not.

**Solution:** We do not have enough information, because  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\5 \end{bmatrix}$  cannot be written in the form  $\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\6 \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e., as a *linear combination* of those two vectors. We can see this by observing that in any such linear combination, the second coordinate will be twice the first coordinate.

(b) Can you compute 
$$T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\7 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
? If so, find it; if not, explain why not.  
**Solution:** Yes, we can, because  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\7 \end{bmatrix} = -2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\6 \end{bmatrix}$ , and therefore,  
 $T\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\7 \end{bmatrix} \right) = -2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2 \end{bmatrix} + (3/2) \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Note: In the above question, how much information about the matrix of T can we deduce from the given information? If we write this matrix as  $\begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \end{bmatrix}$ , with six variables that we need to solve for, then the two pieces of information given in the problem tell us

$a_{1,1}$	+	$2a_{1,2}$	+	$a_{1,3}$	=	1
$a_{2,1}$	+	$2a_{2,2}$	+	$a_{2,2}$	=	-2
$2a_{1,1}$	+	$4a_{1,2}$	+	$6a_{1,3}$	=	2
$2a_{2,1}$	+	$4a_{2,2}$	+	$6a_{2,2}$	=	0

Pairing off the first and third row, as well as the second and fourth, we get two systems

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{1,1} + 2a_{1,2} + a_{1,3} = 1 \\ 2a_{1,1} + 4a_{1,2} + 6a_{1,3} = 2 \end{vmatrix} \qquad \begin{vmatrix} a_{2,1} + 2a_{2,2} + a_{2,2} = -2 \\ 2a_{2,1} + 4a_{2,2} + 6a_{2,2} = 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

with each being a system of two equations in three variables. So, if we knew the value of Tat one more vector, it would give us another equation in each of these systems, and if that new equation were *independent* of the equations we have so far (i.e., not a linear combination of the equations we have), then we could solve for T. This corresponds to knowing T at a

vector which is not a linear combination of the vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\6 \end{bmatrix}$ .